

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/729,499	ELZEIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	

All Participants:

 (1) Emily Bernhardt.

 (2) Ms. Hartrum.
Status of Application: ____

(3) ____

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 12 June 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' after final response addresses all the rejections of the previous action. However the proviso at the end of claim 1 is extraneous as it pertains to subject matter not elected and so should be deleted. Ms. Hartrum agreed to this on 6/9/06. The examiner found additional discrepancy for "acetyl" in ~~the~~ claim 1. Specifically it was noted that "acetyl" was inadvertently deleted from the definition of substituents for het rings as R2 but should have been deleted for phenyl substituent in R2. Also discussed were the thiadiazolyl, benzotriazolyl and benzimidazolyl rings as R1. Ms. Hartrum pointed to species on pages 40, 44 and 54 as examples showing these rings at R1. Ms. Hartrum authorized the additional changes regarding "acetyl" be made. Also, the references needed are those cited in IDS of 6/25/04 and not 4/19/04. The examiner will try to obtain copies so the IDS can be signed